arXiv:1302.3201v1 [math.FA] 13 Feb 2013

$Lmc-$COMPACTIFICATION OF A SEMITOPOLOGICAL SEMIGROUP AS A SPACE OF E-ULTRAFILTERS

M. AKBARI TOOTKABONI,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHED UNIVERSITY
TEHRAN, IRAN
EMAIL: AKBARI@SHAHED.AC.IR

Abstract. Let S be a semitopological semigroup and $\mathcal{CB}(S)$ denotes the C^*-algebra of all bounded complex valued continuous functions on S with uniform norm. A function $f \in \mathcal{CB}(S)$ is left multiplicative continuous if and only if $\mathbf{T}_\mu f \in \mathcal{CB}(S)$ for all μ in the spectrum of $\mathcal{CB}(S)$, where $\mathbf{T}_\mu f(s) = \mu(L_s f)$ and $L_s f(x) = f(sx)$ for each $s, x \in S$. The collection of all left multiplicative continuous functions on S is denoted by $Lmc(S)$. In this paper, the $Lmc-$compactification of a semitopological semigroup S is reconstructed as a space of $e-$ultrafilters. This construction is applied to obtain some algebraic properties of (ε, S^{Lmc}), that S^{Lmc} is the spectrum of $Lmc(S)$, for semitopological semigroups S. It is shown that if S is a locally compact semitopological semigroup, then $S^* = S^{Lmc} \setminus \varepsilon(S)$ is a left ideal of S^{Lmc} if and only if for each $x, y \in S$, there exists a compact zero set A such that $x \in A$ and $\{t \in S : yt \in A\}$ is a compact set.

1. Introduction

Let X be a completely regular space, $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ denotes all the real valued continuous function on X and $\mathcal{CB}(X, \mathbb{R})$ denotes all the bounded real valued continuous function on X. The correspondences between $z-$filters on X and ideals in $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ that have been established in [3] are powerful tools in the study of $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$. These correspondences, which also occur in a rudimentary form in $\mathcal{CB}(X, \mathbb{R})$, are inconsequential, as many theorems of [3] become false if $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is replaced by $\mathcal{CB}(X, \mathbb{R})$. However, there is another correspondence between a certain class of $z-$filters on X and ideals in $\mathcal{CB}(X, \mathbb{R})$ that leads to quite analogous theorems to those for $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$. The requisite information is outlined in [3, 2L].

Key words and phrases. Semigroup Compactification, Lmc- Compactification, z-filter, e-filter

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22A20, 54D80.

In Section 2, some familiarity with semigroup compactification and $Lmc-$compactification will be presented. Also, this Section consists of an introduction to $z-$filters and an elementary external construction of $Lmc-$compactification as a space of $z-$filters. Moreover, in this section $e-$filters and $e-$ideals will be defined (this has been adopted from [3, 2L].

In Section 3, $Lmc-$compactification will be reconstructed as a space of $e-$ ultrafilters with a suitable topology, also on $e-$ultrafilters a binary operation will be defined.

Section 4 will be about some theorems from [5] about the properties of βS which are extended to some properties on S^{Lmc}, for semitopological semigroup S.

2. **Preliminary**

Let S be a semitopological semigroup (i.e. for each $s \in S$, $\lambda_s : S \to S$ and $r_s : S \to S$ are continuous, where for each $x \in S$, $\lambda_s(x) = sx$ and $r_s(x) = xs$) with a Hausdorff topology, $\mathcal{CB}(S)$ denotes the C^*-algebra of all bounded complex valued continuous functions on S with uniform norm, and $\mathcal{C}(S)$ denotes the algebra of all complex valued continuous functions on S. A semigroup compactification of S is a pair (ψ, X), where X is a compact, Hausdorff, right topological semigroup (i.e. for all $x \in X$, r_x is continuous) and $\psi : S \to X$ is continuous homomorphism with dense image such that, for all $s \in S$, the mapping $x \mapsto \psi(s)x : X \to X$ is continuous, (see Definition 3.1.1 in [1]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{CB}(S)$ containing the constant functions, then the set of all multiplicative means of $\mathcal{F}$ (the spectrum of $\mathcal{F}$), denoted by $S^{\mathcal{F}}$, equipped with the Gelfand topology, is a compact Hausdorff topological space. Let $R_sf = f \circ r_s \in \mathcal{F}$ and $L_sf = f \circ \lambda_s \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $s \in S$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and the function $s \mapsto (T_\mu f(s)) = \mu(L_s f)$ is in $\mathcal{F}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mu \in S^{\mathcal{F}}$ then $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ under the multiplication $\mu\nu = \mu \circ T_\nu$ $(\mu, \nu \in S^{\mathcal{F}})$, furnished with the Gelfand topology, makes $(\varepsilon, S^{\mathcal{F}})$ a semigroup compactification (called the $\mathcal{F}$-compactification) of S, where $\varepsilon : S \to S^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the evaluation mapping. Also, $\varepsilon^* : \mathcal{C}(S^{\mathcal{F}}) \to \mathcal{F}$ is isometric isomorphism and $\widehat{f} = (\varepsilon^*)^{-1}(f) \in \mathcal{C}(S^{\mathcal{F}})$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is given by $\widehat{f}(\mu) = \mu(f)$ for all $\mu \in S^{\mathcal{F}}$, (For more detail see section 2 in [1]).

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{CB}(S)$, then $\beta S = S^{\mathcal{CB}(S)}$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of S, where S is a completely regular space.

A function $f \in \mathcal{CB}(S)$ is left multiplicative continuous if and only if $\mathbf{T}_\mu f \in \mathcal{CB}(S)$ for all $\mu \in \beta S = S^{\mathcal{CB}(S)}$. Therefore

$$Lmc(S) = \bigcap\{\mathbf{T}_\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{CB}(S)) : \mu \in \beta S\}$$

is defined and (ε, S^{Lmc}) is the universal semigroup compactification of S (Definition 4.5.1 and Theorem 4.5.2 in [1]). In general, S can not be embedded in S^{Lmc}. In fact, as it was shown in [4] there is a completely regular Hausdorff semitopological semigroup S such that the continuous homomorphism ε from S to its $Lmc-$compactification, is neither one-to-one nor open as a mapping to $\varepsilon(S)$.

The $\mathcal{LUC}-$compactification is the spectrum of the C^*-algebra consisting of all left uniformly continuous functions on semitopological semigroup S; a function $f : S \to \mathbb{C}$ is left uniformly continuous if $s \mapsto L_s f$ is continuous map from S to the space of bounded continuous functions on S with the uniform norm. Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff topological group, by Theorem 5.7 of chapter 4 in [1] implies that $Lmc(G) = \mathcal{LUC}(G)$. Also the evaluation map $G \to G^{\mathcal{LUC}}$ is open, (see [1]).

Now, some prerequisite material from [7] are quoted for the description of (ε, S^{Lmc}) in terms of $z-$filters. For $f \in Lmc(S)$, $Z(f) = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is called zero set, and the collection of all zero sets is denoted by $Z(Lmc(S))$. For an extensive account of ultrafilters, the readers may refer to [2], [3] and [5].

Definition 2.1. $\mathcal{A} \subseteq Z(Lmc(S))$ is called a z-filter on $Lmc(S)$ (or for simplicity $z-$filter) if,
(i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A}$ and $S \in \mathcal{A}$,
(ii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A \bigcap B \in \mathcal{A}$,
(iii) if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ and $A \subseteq B$ then $B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Because of (iii), (ii) may be replaced by, $(ii)'$ If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A \cap B$ contains a member of $\mathcal{A}$.

A $z-$ultrafilter is a $z-$filter which is not properly contained in any other $z-$filter. The collection of all $z-$ultrafilters is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(S)$. For $x \in S$, $\widehat{x} = \{Z(f) : f \in Lmc(S),\ f(x) = 0\}$ is a $z-$ultrafilter. The $z-$filter $\mathcal{F}$ is said to converge to the limit $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ if every neighborhood of μ contains a member of $\mathcal{F}$. The collection of all $z-$ultrafilters on $Lmc(S)$ converge to $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ is denoted by $[\mu]$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \{\widetilde{p} : \widetilde{p} = \cap[\mu]\}$ and define $\widetilde{A} = \{\widetilde{p} : A \in \widetilde{p}\}$ for $A \subseteq S$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be equipped with the topology whose basis is $\{(\widetilde{A})^c : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$, and define $\bigcap[\mu] * \bigcap[\nu] = \bigcap[\mu\nu]$. Then $(\mathcal{Q}, *)$ is a (Hausdorff) compact right topological semigroup and $\varphi : S^{Lmc} \to \mathcal{Q}$ defined by $\varphi(\mu) = \cap[\mu] = \widetilde{p}$, where $\bigcap_{A\in p} \overline{A} = \{\mu\}$, is topologically isomorphism. So $\widetilde{A}$ is equal to $cl_{S^{Lmc}} A$ and we denote it by $\overline{A}$, also for simplicity we use x replace $\widehat{x}$. The operation " $\cdot$ " on S, extends uniquely to " $*$ " on $\mathcal{Q}$. For more discussion and details see [7].

Remark 2.2. If $p, q \in \mathcal{Z}(S)$, then the following statements hold.
(i) If $E \subseteq Z(Lmc(S))$ has the finite intersection property, then E is contained in a $z-$ultrafilter.
(ii) If $B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ and for all $A \in p$, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ then $B \in p$.
(iii) If $A, B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ such that $A \cup B \in p$ then $A \in p$ or $B \in p$.
(iv) Let p and q be distinct $z-$ultrafilters, then there exist $A \in p$ and $B \in q$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$.
(v) Let p be a $z-$ultrafilter, then there exists $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{A\in p} \overline{\varepsilon(A)} = \{\mu\}.$$

(For (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) see Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 in [7]. For (v) see Lemma 2.6 in [7]).

In this paper, $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the topological group formed by the real numbers under addition. Also define $Ker(\mu) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : \mu(f) = 0\}$ for $\mu \in Lmc(S)^*$. By Theorem 11.5 in [6], M is a maximal ideal of $Lmc(S)$ if and only if there is $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ such that $Ker(\mu) = M$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let S be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup.*
(1) If $f \in Lmc(S)$ is real valued, then $f^+ = max\{f, 0\} \in Lmc(S)$ and $f^- = -min\{f, 0\} \in Lmc(S)$.
(2) Let $f \in Lmc(S)$, then $Re(f) \in Lmc(S)$, $Im(f) \in Lmc(S)$ and $|f| \in Lmc(S)$.
(3) If f and g are real valued functions in $Lmc(S)$, then

$$(f \vee g)(x) = max\{f(x), g(x)\} \in Lmc(S),$$

and

$$(f \wedge g)(x) = min\{f(x), g(x)\} \in Lmc(S).$$

(4) Let $f \in Lmc(S)$ and there exists $c > 0$ such that $c < |f(x)|$ for each $x \in S$. Then $\frac{1}{f} \in Lmc(S)$.
(5) Let M be a maximal ideal and $f \in M$, then $\overline{f} \in M$.

Proof. For (1), (2) and (3), since $f \mapsto \widehat{f} : Lmc(S) \to \mathcal{C}(S^{Lmc})$ is an isometrical isomorphism and $|\widehat{f}| \in \mathcal{C}(S^L mc)$ for each $f \in Lmc(S)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{f}|(\varepsilon(x)) &= |\widehat{f}(\varepsilon(x))| \\ &= |\varepsilon(x)(f)| \\ &= |f(x)| \\ &= |f|(x) \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in S$. Thus, $|\widehat{f}| = \widehat{|f|}$ for each $f \in Lmc(S)$ and so $|f| \in Lmc(S)$ for each $f \in Lmc(S)$.
Now let f and g be real valued functions, so

$$f \vee g(x) = \frac{|f-g|(x)}{2} + \frac{(f+g)(x)}{2} \in Lmc(S).$$

In a similar way $f \wedge g$, f^+ and f^- are in $Lmc(S)$. Pick $f \in Lmc(S)$, since $Lmc(S)$ is conjugate closed subalgebra so $Re(f) = \frac{f+\overline{f}}{2} \in Lmc(S)$ and $Im(f) = \frac{f-\overline{f}}{2i} \in Lmc(S)$.

For (4), let $f \in Lmc(S)$ and there exists a $c > 0$ such that $c < |f(x)|$ for each $x \in S$. So $|\widehat{f}|(\mu) \geq c$ for each $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$, this implies that $\widehat{\frac{1}{f}} = \frac{1}{\widehat{f}} \in \mathcal{C}(S^{Lmc})$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{f} \in Lmc(S)$.

For (5), let M be a maximal ideal in $Lmc(S)$, so there exists a $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ such that $M = Ker(\mu) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : \mu(f) = 0\}$. Now let $f \in M$, so $\mu(f) = \mu(Re(f)) + i\mu(Im(f)) = 0$. This implies that $\mu(Re(f)) = \mu(Im(f)) = 0$ and so $\mu(\overline{f}) = 0$. Thus, $\overline{f} \in M$. □

For $f \in Lmc(S)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define $E_\epsilon(f) = \{x \in S : |f(x)| \leq \epsilon\}$. Every such set is a zero set. Conversely, every zero set is of this form, $Z(g) = E_\epsilon(\epsilon + |g|)$. For $I \subseteq Lmc(S)$, we write $E(I) = \{E_\epsilon(f) : f \in I, \epsilon > 0\}$, i.e. $E(I) = \bigcup_{\epsilon>0} E_\epsilon(I)$. Finally, for any family $\mathcal{A}$ of zero sets, we define

$$E^-(\mathcal{A}) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : E_\epsilon(f) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\},$$

that is, $E^-(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcap_{\epsilon>0} E_\epsilon^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{A})$, where

$$E_\epsilon^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{A}) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : E_\epsilon(f) \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *For any family $\mathcal{A}$ of zero sets,*

$$E(E^-(\mathcal{A})) = \bigcup_{\epsilon>0}\{E_\epsilon(f) : f \in Lmc(S),\ E_\delta(f) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } \delta > 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}.$$

The inclusion may be proper, when $\mathcal{A}$ is a $z-$filter.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of zero sets, so

$$E^{-}(\mathcal{A}) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : E_{\epsilon}(f) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\},$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A})) &= \{E_{\epsilon}(f) : f \in E^{-}(\mathcal{A}), \epsilon > 0\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\epsilon>0}\{E_{\epsilon}(f) : f \in E^{-}(\mathcal{A})\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\epsilon>0}\{E_{\epsilon}(f) : E_{\delta}(f) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } \delta > 0\} \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $M_0 = \{f \in Lmc((\mathbb{R},+)) : f(0) = 0\}$, then M_0 is a maximal ideal in $Lmc((\mathbb{R},+))$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{Z(f) : f \in M_0\}$ is a z-filter. Let $g(x) = |x| \wedge 1$, then $g \in M_0$ and so $\{0\} = Z(g) \in \mathcal{A}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A})) &= \bigcup_{\epsilon>0}\{E_{\epsilon}(f) : E_{\delta}(f) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } \delta > 0\} \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

pick $f \in Lmc((\mathbb{R},+))$ such that $E_{\epsilon}(f) \in E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ each $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous so for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f((-\delta,\delta)) \subseteq (-\epsilon,\epsilon)$, therefore $(-\delta,\delta) \subseteq E_{\epsilon}(f)$. This implies that $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a collection of uncountable zero sets. But $\{0\} \in \mathcal{A}$ is finite and so $\{0\} \notin E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$. Therefore $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A})) \neq \mathcal{A}$. □

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $z-$filter. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called an $e-$filter if $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{A}$.

Hence, $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$filter if and only if, whenever $Z \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $f \in Lmc(S)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Z = E_{\epsilon}(f)$ and $E_{\delta}(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let I be a subset of $Lmc(S)$. Then,*

$$I \subseteq E^{-}(E(I)) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : E_{\epsilon}(f) \in E(I) \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}.$$

The inclusion may be proper, even when I is an ideal.

Proof. By Definition

$$I \subseteq E^{-}(E(I)) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : E_{\epsilon}(f) \in E(I) \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}.$$

Finally, let I be the ideal of all functions in $Lmc((\mathbb{R},+))$ that vanish on a neighborhood of 0. Pick $g(x) = |x| \wedge 1$ in $Lmc((\mathbb{R},+))$ that vanishes precisely at 0. Since for each $\epsilon > 0$, $E_\epsilon(g) = E_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(g \vee \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ and $g \vee \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \in I$, then $E_\epsilon(g) \in E(I)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, and so $g \in E^-(E(I))$ but $g \notin I$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Definition 2.7. Let I be an ideal of $Lmc(S)$. I is called an $e-$ideal if $E^-(E(I)) = I$.

Hence, I is an $e-$ideal if and only if, whenever $E_\epsilon(f) \in E(I)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, then $f \in I$.

Lemma 2.8. *The following statements hold.*
(1) The intersection of $e-$*ideals is an* $e-$*ideal.*
(2) If I *is an ideal in* $Lmc(S)$*, then* $E(I)$ *is an* $e-$ *filter.*
(3) If $\mathcal{A}$ *is any* $z-$*filter, then* $E^-(\mathcal{A})$ *is an* $e-$*ideal in* $Lmc(S)$*.*
(4) $I \subseteq J \subseteq Lmc(S)$ *implies* $E(I) \subseteq E(J)$*, and* $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq Z(Lmc(S))$ *implies* $E^-(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq E^-(\mathcal{B})$*.*
(5) If J *is an* $e-$*ideal, then* $I \subseteq J$ *if and only if* $E(I) \subseteq E(J)$*. If* $\mathcal{A}$ *is an* $e-$*filter then* $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ *if and only if* $E^-(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq E^-(\mathcal{B})$*.*
(6) If $\mathcal{A}$ *is any* $e-$*filter, then* $E^-(\mathcal{A})$ *is an* $e-$*ideal. Let* I *be an ideal in* $Lmc(S)$*, then* $E^-(E(I))$ *is the smallest* $e-$*ideal containing* I*. In particular, every maximal ideal in* $Lmc(S)$ *is an* $e-$*ideal.*
(7) For any $z-$*filter* $\mathcal{A}$*,* $E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$ *is the largest* $e-$*filter contained in* $\mathcal{A}$*.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\{I_\alpha\}$ is a collection of e-ideals and $I = \bigcap_\alpha I_\alpha$. Let $E_\epsilon(f) \in E(I)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, then $E_\epsilon(f) \in E(I_\alpha)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ so, $f \in I_\alpha$ for each α. This implies $f \in I$.

(2) Let $E_\epsilon(f) = \emptyset$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in I$, then $\epsilon \leq |f(x)| \leq M$ for some $M > 0$ and for each $x \in S$. So $\frac{1}{f} \in Lmc(S)$ and, $1 = f\frac{1}{f} \in I$. This is a contradiction and so $\emptyset \notin E(I)$.

Let $f' \in Lmc(S)$, $f \in I$ be a nonnegative function and $E_\epsilon(f) \subseteq Z(f')$, then $g(x) = |f'(x)| + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon \vee |f(x)|} \in Lmc(S)$. Now

$$|f(x)|g(x) = |f'(x)f(x)| + \frac{\epsilon|f(x)|}{\epsilon \vee |f(x)|},$$

so for $x \in Z(f')$ implies that $|f(x)g(x)| = \frac{\epsilon|f(x)|}{\epsilon \vee |f(x)|} \leq \epsilon$. Hence we have $Z(f') \subseteq E_\epsilon(fg)$. If $x \in E_\epsilon(fg)$, then

$$|f'(x)f(x)| \leq |f'(x)f(x)| + \frac{\epsilon|f(x)|}{\epsilon \vee |f(x)|} = |f(x)g(x)| \leq \epsilon$$

and if $x \notin Z(f')$ then $\epsilon < |f(x)|$ and $|g(x)f(x)| > \epsilon$. Therefore this implies $E_\epsilon(fg) \subseteq Z(f')$, and so $E_\epsilon(fg) = Z(f')$.

Suppose that $E_\epsilon(f), E_\delta(g) \in E(I)$ for some $f, g \in I$ and $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. Let $\gamma = \epsilon \wedge \delta \wedge \frac{1}{2}$ then,

$$E_{\frac{\gamma^2}{4}}(f\overline{f} + g\overline{g}) \subseteq E_\gamma(f) \bigcap E_\gamma(g) \subseteq E_\epsilon(f) \bigcap E_\delta(g),$$

thus $E_\epsilon(f) \cap E_\delta(g) \in E(I)$.

Now let $Z \in E(I)$, so there exists a $f \in I$ such that $Z = E_\epsilon(f)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. By Definition of $E(I)$, $E_\delta(f) \in E(I)$ for each $\delta > 0$, so $E(I)$ is an $e-$ filter.

(3) Let $f, g \in E^-(\mathcal{A})$. Since $E_{\epsilon/2}(|f|) \cap E_{\epsilon/2}(|g|) \subseteq E_\epsilon(|f-g|)$, therefore $E_\epsilon(f-g) \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, $f - g \in E^-(\mathcal{A})$. Let $f \in E^-(\mathcal{A})$, $g \in Lmc(S)$ and $M = \|g\| + 1$. Hence, $E_{\frac{\epsilon}{M}}(f) \subseteq E_\epsilon(fg)$ and $fg \in E^-(\mathcal{A})$. Now let $E_\epsilon(f) \in E^-(\mathcal{A})$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. Definition of $E^-(\mathcal{A})$ implies that $f \in E^-(\mathcal{A})$. Thus, $E^-(\mathcal{A})$ is an e-ideal.

(4) It can easily be checked.

(5) It is obvious that if $I \subseteq J$ then $E(I) \subseteq E(J)$ by (4).

Conversely. If $f \in I$ then $E_\epsilon(f) \in E(I)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, and so $E_\epsilon(f) \in E(J)$. Since J is an $e-$filter, so $f \in J$. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then $E^-(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq E^-(\mathcal{B})$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$filter, then $\mathcal{A} = E(E^-(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq E(E^-(\mathcal{B})) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

(6) Let $I = E^-(\mathcal{A}) = \{f \in Lmc(S) : \forall \epsilon > 0,\ E_\epsilon(f) \in \mathcal{A}\}$, thus, $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$filter, and $\mathcal{A} = E(E^-(\mathcal{A})) = E(I)$. This implies $I = E^-(\mathcal{A}) = E^-(E(I))$ and so I is an $e-$ideal. Let $I \subseteq Lmc(S)$ be an ideal, then $J = E^-(E(I))$ is an $e-$ ideal (by (3) and (4)), so $I \subseteq J$. Let $I \subseteq K \subseteq J$ and K be an $e-$ ideal, then $E(I) \subseteq E(K) \subseteq E(J) = E(I)$ and $E(K) = E(I)$. Thus $J = E^-(E(I)) = E^-(E(K)) = K$, and this implies that J is the smallest $e-$ideal containing I.

Finally, every maximal ideal in $Lmc(S)$ is an $e-$ideal. For this, let M is a maximal ideal in $Lmc(S)$. Then, $E^-(E(M))$ is an $e-$ideal, $M \subseteq E^-(E(M))$ and M is maximal so, $M = E^-(E(M))$.

(7) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $z-$filter, then $E^-(\mathcal{A})$ is an ideal in $Lmc(S)$, so $E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$ is an $e-$filter and $\mathcal{B} = E(E^-(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Now let $\mathcal{U}$ be an $e-$filter such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, then $E^-(\mathcal{U}) = E^-(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, $\mathcal{B}$ is an $e-$ filter subset of $\mathcal{A}$. □

A maximal $e-$filter is called an $e-$ultrafilter. Zorn's Lemma implies that every $e-$filter is contained in an $e-$ultrafilter. Because, if $\mathcal{Y}$ is a chain of $e-$filters, then also is a chain of $z-$filters and so $\cup\mathcal{Y}$ is a $z-$filter. It is sufficient to show $\cup\mathcal{Y}$ is an $e-$filter. Let $Z \in \cup\mathcal{Y}$, then there exists a $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $Z \in Y$. Since Y is an $e-$ideal, so there exist $f \in Lmc(S)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $Z = E_\epsilon(f)$ and $\{E_\delta(f) : \delta > 0\} \subseteq Y$. Thus there exist

$f \in Lmc(S)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Z = E_\epsilon(f)$ and $\{E_\delta(f) : \delta > 0\} \subseteq \cup\mathcal{Y}$. Therefore $\cup\mathcal{Y}$ is an $e-$filter.

Theorem 2.9. *If M is a maximal ideal in $Lmc(S)$ then $E(M)$ is an $e-$ultrafilter, and if $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$ultrafilter then $E^-(\mathcal{A})$ is a maximal ideal in $Lmc(S)$.*

Proof. Let M be a maximal ideal, so, $E(M)$ is an $e-$filter (Lemma 2.8(2)). Suppose that there exists an $e-$filter $\mathcal{U}$ such that $E(M) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, then $M = E^-(E(M)) \subseteq E^-(\mathcal{U})$ and so, $E(M) = E(E^-(\mathcal{U})) = \mathcal{U}$, by Lemma 2.8(7). Thus, $E(M)$ is an $e-$ultrafilter.

Now let $\mathcal{E}$ be an $e-$ultrafilter, then $E^-(\mathcal{E})$ is an ideal in $Lmc(S)$(Lemma 2.8(3)). Let J be a maximal ideal such that $E^-(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq J$, J is an $e-$ideal and so, $E(E^-(\mathcal{E})) \subseteq E(J)$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is an $e-$ultrafilter so, $\mathcal{E} = E(E^-(\mathcal{E}))$ and $E^-(\mathcal{E}) = E^-(E(J)) = J$. This implies that $E^-(\mathcal{E})$ is maximal. □

The correspondence $M \mapsto E(M)$ is one to one from the set of all maximal ideals in $Lmc(S)$ onto the set of all $e-$ultrafilters.

Theorem 2.10. *The following property characterizes an ideal M in $Lmc(S)$ as a maximal ideal: given $f \in Lmc(S)$, if $E_\epsilon(f)$ meets every member of $E(M)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, then $f \in M$.*

Proof. Let M be a maximal ideal and $f \in Lmc(S)$. Let $E_\epsilon(f)$ meets every member of $E(M)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. So $E(M) \cup \{E_\epsilon(f) : \epsilon > 0\}$ has the finite intersection property, and so there exists a $z-$ultrafilter $\mathcal{A}$ containing it. By Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.9,

$$M = E^-(\mathcal{A}) = \{g \in Lmc(S) : E_\epsilon(g) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for each } \epsilon > 0\}.$$

This implies that $f \in M$.

Now let M be an ideal in $Lmc(S)$ with the following property: given $f \in Lmc(S)$, if $E_\epsilon(f)$ meets every member of $E(M)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, then $f \in M$. We show that M is a maximal ideal. Let $f \in Lmc(S) \setminus M$ and so some $E_\epsilon(f)$ fails to meet some member of $E(M)$. Therefore there exist $g \in M$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $E_\epsilon(f) \cap E_\delta(g) = \emptyset$. Pick $\gamma = min\{\delta^2, \epsilon^2, 1\}$, then $E_\gamma(f\overline{f} + g\overline{g}) \subseteq E_\epsilon(f) \cap E_\delta(g)$, so $f\overline{f} + g\overline{g}$ is invertible and generated ideal by $M \cup \{f\}$ is equal with $Lmc(S)$. This implies M is a maximal ideal. □

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be $z-$ultrafilters. It is said that $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $E(E^-(\mathcal{A})) = E(E^-(\mathcal{B}))$. It is obvious that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class of $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{Z}(S)$ is denoted by $[\mathcal{A}]$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $z-$ultrafilter, then*
(a) Let $Z(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ for some $Lmc(S)$, then $f \in E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$.
(b) $E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ is a maximal ideal.
(c) $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ is an $e-$ultrafilter.
(d) Let Z be a zero set that meets every member of $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ then, there exists a $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}]$ such that $Z \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. (a) By Remark 2.2(v), pick $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ such that $\bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{A}} \overline{\varepsilon(A)} = \{\mu\}$. Now let $Z(f) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mu \in \overline{\varepsilon(Z(f))}$ and so there exists a net $\{\varepsilon(x_\alpha)\} \subseteq \varepsilon(A)$ such that $lim_\alpha \varepsilon(x_\alpha) = \mu$. Since

$$\mu(f) = lim_\alpha \varepsilon(x_\alpha)(f) = lim_\alpha f(x_\alpha) = 0,$$

so $f \in Ker(\mu)$. It is obvious $Z(f) \subseteq E_\epsilon(f)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ and so $E_\epsilon(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. This implies $f \in E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$.

(b) By (a), there exists a $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ such that $Ker(\mu) \subseteq E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $Ker(\mu)$ is a maximal ideal in $Lmc(S)$ and also by Lemma 2.8(3), so $Ker(\mu) = E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$.

(c) Since $E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ is a maximal ideal so $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ is an $e-$ultrafilter by Theorem 2.9.

(d) Let Z be a zero set that meets every member of $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$. Then $\{Z\} \cup E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ has the finite intersection property. Hence there exists some $z-$ultrafilter $\mathcal{B}$ containing $\{Z\} \cup E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$. Since $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ is an $e-$ultrafilter contained in $\mathcal{B}$, so by (b), $E^{-}(\mathcal{B})$ is a maximal ideal and by Lemma 2.8(4), $E^{-}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq E^{-}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus by Theorem 2.9, $E^{-}(\mathcal{B}) = E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$ and so $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{B})) = E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$. Therefore there exists a $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}]$ such that $Z \in \mathcal{B}$. □

Remark 2.12. Since $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ is a locally compact topological group, by Theorem 5.7 of chapter 4 in [1],

$$Lmc(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in \mathcal{CB}(\mathbb{R}) : t \mapsto f \circ \lambda_t : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{CB}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is norm continuous.}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_\circ(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in \mathcal{CB}(\mathbb{R}) : lim_{x\to\pm\infty} f(x) = 0\}$, then $\mathcal{C}_\circ(\mathbb{R})$ is an ideal of $Lmc(\mathbb{R})$. Let M be a maximal ideal in $Lmc(\mathbb{R})$ which contains $\mathcal{C}_\circ(\mathbb{R})$. It is obvious that $f(x) = e^{-x^2} sin(x)$ and $g(x) = e^{-x^2} cos(\pi x)$ belong to $C_\circ(\mathbb{R})$. Then $Z(f) = \{k\pi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $Z(g) = \{\frac{2k+1}{2} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and $E(M) \cup \{Z(f)\}$ and $E(M) \cup \{Z(g)\}$ have the finite intersection property. So there exist z-ultrafilters $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ such that $E(M) \cup \{Z(f)\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and also $E(M) \cup \{Z(g)\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Since $E(M)$ is an $e-$ultrafilter so there exist at least two distinct $z-$ultrafilters containing $E^{-}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus

(i) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a $z-$ultrafilter, then it is not necessity the unique $z-$ultrafilter containing $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$.
(ii) There exist a $z-$ultrafilter $\mathcal{A}$, and a zero-set Z meets every member of $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ such that $Z \notin \mathcal{A}$.

3. **Space of e-ultrafilters**

In this section we define a topology on the set of all $e-$ultrafilters of a semitopological semigroup S, and establish some of the properties of the resulting space. Also, we show that we can extend the operation of the semitopological semigroup to the set of all $e-$ultrafilters.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup.
(a) The collection of all $e-$ultrafilters is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(S)$ i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}(S) = \{p : p \text{ is an } e-\text{ultrafilter.}\}.$$

(b) $A^{\dagger} = \{p \in \mathcal{E}(S) : A \in p\}$ for each $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$ is defined.
(c) $e(a) = \{E_{\epsilon}(f) : f(a) = 0, \epsilon > 0\}$ for each $a \in S$ is defined.
(d) It is said that $\mathcal{A} \subset Z(Lmc(S))$ has the $e-$finite intersection property if and only if $E(E^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$ has the finite intersection property.

Pick $\varepsilon(a) \in S^{Lmc}$ for some $a \in S$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Ker(\varepsilon(a)) &= \{f \in Lmc(S) : \varepsilon(a)(f) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in Lmc(S) : f(a) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is a maximal ideal and by Theorem 2.9,

$$E^{-}(Ker(\varepsilon(a))) = \{E_{\epsilon}(f) : f(a) = 0,\ \forall \epsilon > 0\} = e(a)$$

is an $e-$ultrafilter.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A, B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ and $f, g \in Lmc(S)$. Then*
(1) $(A \cap B)^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger} \cap B^{\dagger}$.
(2) $(A \cup B)^{\dagger} \supseteq A^{\dagger} \cup B^{\dagger}$.
(3) *Pick $x \in S$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\lambda_x^{-1}(E_{\epsilon}(f)) = E_{\epsilon}(Lxf)$.*
(4) *$E_{\epsilon \wedge \delta}(|f| \vee |g|) \subseteq E_{\epsilon}(f) \cap E_{\delta}(g)$ and $E_{\epsilon}(|f| \vee |g|) = E_{\epsilon}(f) \cap E_{\epsilon}(g)$, for each $\delta, \epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. The proofs are routine. □

Since $(A \cap B)^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger} \cap B^{\dagger}$, for each $A, B \in Z(Lmc(S))$, so the sets $A^{\dagger}$ are closed under finite intersection. Consequently, $\{A^{\dagger} : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ forms a base for an open topology on $\mathcal{E}(S)$.

Theorem 3.3. *(1) Pick* $f \in Lmc(S)$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$, *then* $int_S(A) = e^{-1}(A^\dagger)$, *and so* $e : S \to \mathcal{E}(S)$ *is continuous.*
(2) Pick $p \in \mathcal{E}(S)$ *and* $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$, *then the following statements are equivalent:*
(i) $p \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A))$,
(ii) for each $B \in p$, $int_S(B) \cap A \neq \emptyset$,
(iii) for each $B \in p$, $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$,
(iv) there exists a $z-$*ultrafilter* $\mathcal{A}_p$ *containing* p *such that* $A \in \mathcal{A}_p$.
(3) Pick $A, B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ *such that* $p \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A)) \cap cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(B))$ *and* $p \cup \{A, B\}$ *has the finite intersection property, then* $p \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A \cap B))$.
(4) $\{cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A)) : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ *is a base for closed subsets of* $\mathcal{E}(S)$.
(5) $\mathcal{E}(S)$ *is a compact Hausdorff space.*
(6) $e(S)$ *is a dense subset of* $\mathcal{E}(S)$.

Proof. (1) Let $p \in A^\dagger$, so there exist $f \in E^-(p)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $E_\epsilon(f) = A$ and $E_\delta(f) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$. Pick $x_\circ \in int_S(A)$, then $|f(x_\circ)| < \epsilon$ or $|f(x_\circ)| = \epsilon$.

If $\delta = |f(x_\circ)| < \epsilon$, then $E_{\epsilon-\delta}(|f| \vee \delta - \delta) = E_\epsilon(f)$, $x_\circ \in E_{\epsilon-\delta}(|f| \vee \delta - \delta)$ and $x_\circ \in E_\eta(|f| \vee \delta - \delta)$ for each $\eta > 0$. Thus,

$$e(x_\circ) \in E_{\epsilon-\delta}(|f| \vee \delta - \delta)^\dagger = E_\epsilon(f)^\dagger = A^\dagger.$$

If $|f(x_\circ)| = \epsilon$ so there exists a neighborhood U such that $x_\circ \in U \subseteq A$. Since $Lmc(S)$ and $C(S^{Lmc})$ are isometrically isomorphism, pick $g \in Lmc(S)$ such that $g(U) = \{0\}$, $g(A^c) = \{\|f\|\}$ and $g(S) \subseteq [0, \|f\|]$. Define $h = |f| \wedge g$, then $E_\epsilon(h) = E_\epsilon(f) = A$ and $|h(x_\circ)| = 0 < \epsilon$. It is obvious that $E_\delta(f) \subseteq E_\delta(h)$ for each $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ and $E_\epsilon(f) \subseteq E_\delta(h)$ for each $\epsilon < \delta$. Therefore $E_\delta(h) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$ and $|h(x_\circ)| = 0 < \epsilon$. So by previous case, $e(x_\circ) \in E_\epsilon(h)^\dagger = A^\dagger$. Thus $x_\circ \in e^{-1}(A^\dagger)$ and so $int_S(A) \subseteq e^{-1}(A^\dagger)$.

Now pick $e(x) \in A^\dagger$, so there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $E_\epsilon(f) = A$ and so, $E_\delta(f) \in e(x)$ for any $\delta > 0$. Therefore, $f(x) = 0$ and $x \in E_\epsilon(f)$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, $e^{-1}(A^\dagger) = int_S(A)$.

(2) (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Since $p \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A))$ if and only if $B^\dagger \cap e(A) \neq \emptyset$ for any $B \in p$, if and only if $e^{-1}(B^\dagger \cap e(A)) \neq \emptyset$ for any $B \in p$, if and only if

$$int_S(B) \cap A = e^{-1}(B^\dagger) \cap e^{-1}(e(A)) \neq \emptyset$$

for any $B \in p$, by item (1).
It is obvious that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent and (ii) implies (iii).
(iii) implies (ii). Let for some $B \in p$, $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $int_S(B) \cap A = \emptyset$. Since

$B \in p$ so there exist $f \in Lmc(S)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B = E_\epsilon(f)$, $E_\delta(f) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$ and

$$E_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(f) \cap A \subseteq int_S(B) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

This is a contradiction.

(3) Let $p \cup \{A, B\}$ has the finite intersection property, so $p \cup \{A \cap B\}$ has the finite intersection property. Let $\mathcal{A}_p$ be a $z-$ultrafilter containing $p \cup \{A \cap B\}$ and hence by item (2), implies that $p \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A \cap B))$.

(4) It is suffices show that $\{(cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A)))^c : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ is a base for open subsets of $\mathcal{E}(S)$. Let U be an open subset containing $p \in \mathcal{E}(S)$. Since $\{A^\dagger : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ forms a base for an open topology on $\mathcal{E}(S)$, so there exist $f \in Lmc(S)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $p \in E_\epsilon(f)^\dagger \subseteq U$ and $E_\delta(f) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$. Now pick $0 < \gamma < \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \|f\|\}$, and define $g(x) = \|f\| - |f(x)|$. Then $g \in Lmc(S)$ and $(E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g))^c \subseteq E_\gamma(f)$, so

$$(cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g)))^c \subseteq cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}((E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g))^c) \subseteq cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(E_\gamma(f)).$$

Hence, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g) \cap E_\gamma(f)) \bigcap E_\delta(f) = \emptyset$, and

$$E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g) \bigcap E_\delta(f) = \emptyset.$$

This implies $p \notin cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)} E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g)$ and so

$$p \in (cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(E_{\|f\|-\gamma}(g))^c \subseteq E_\epsilon(f)^\dagger.$$

This show that $\{(cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A)))^c : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ is a base for open subsets of $\mathcal{E}(S)$.

(5) Suppose that p and q are distinct elements of $\mathcal{E}(S)$, then $E^-(p)$ and $E^-(q)$ are maximal ideals, by Theorem 2.9. Pick $f \in E^-(p) \backslash E^-(q)$. So by Theorem 2.10, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $A \in q = E(E^-(q))$ that $E_\epsilon(f) \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $A \in q = E(E^-(q))$, pick $\delta > 0$ and $g \in E^-(q)$ such that $A = E_\delta(g)$ and for all $\gamma > 0$, $E_\gamma(g) \in q$. Then $E_\epsilon(f) \cap E_\delta(g) = \emptyset$. Now let $B = E_\epsilon(f)$, then $A \in p$, $B \in q$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $A^\dagger \cap B^\dagger = \emptyset$, $p \in A^\dagger$ and $q \in B^\dagger$, and so $\mathcal{E}(S)$ is Hausdorff.

Define $\eta : p \mapsto E(E^-(p)) : \mathcal{Z}(S) \to \mathcal{E}(S)$. By Lemma 2.11, if $p \in \mathcal{Z}(S)$ then $E(E^-(p)) \in \mathcal{E}(S)$ so η is well defined. Now let p be an $e-$ultrafilter, so there exists a $z-$ultrafilter $\mathcal{A}$ containing p. By Lemma 2.11, $p = E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$.

This implies η is onto. For each $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{-1}(cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A))) &= \{p \in \mathcal{Z}(S) : \eta(p) \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A))\} \\
\text{By Theorem 3.3(2)} \quad &= \{\, p \in \mathcal{Z}(S) : \forall B \in \eta(p), \quad B \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \\
\text{By Theorem 3.3(2)} \quad &= \{\, p \in \mathcal{Z}(S) : \eta(p) \cup \{A\} \subseteq p\} \\
&= \{\, p \in \mathcal{Z}(S) : A \in p\} \\
&= \widehat{A}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A)) : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ is a base for closed subsets of $\mathcal{E}(S)$, so η is continuous. Since $\mathcal{Z}(S)$ is compact, so $\mathcal{E}(S)$ is also compact.

(6) By (4), e is continuous. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{e(S)} &= \{p \in \mathcal{E}(S) : \forall\ B \in p,\ \ B^{\dagger} \cap e(S) \neq \emptyset\} \\
&= \{p \in e(S) : \forall\ B \in p,\ \ B \cap S \neq \emptyset\} \\
&= \mathcal{E}(S).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $e-$filter. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{p \in \mathcal{E}(S) : \mathcal{A} \subseteq p\}$.

Theorem 3.5. *(a) If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$filter, then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{E}(S)$.*
(b) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $e-$filter and $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$. Then, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq A^{\dagger}$.
(c) Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathcal{E}(S)$ and $\mathcal{A} = E(E^{-}(\cap A))$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$filter and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}A$.

Proof. (a) Pick $p \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, so $A^{\dagger} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \neq \emptyset$, for each $A \in p$. Hence, $\mathcal{A} \cup \{A\}$ has the e-finite intersection property for each $A \in p$. This implies that $\mathcal{A} \cup p \subseteq p$ and so $p \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

(b) It is routine to verify the assertion.

(c) By assumption, $\mathcal{A}$ is an $e-$filter (by Lemma 2.8). Further, for each $p \in A$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq p$ implies that $A \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, thus by (a), $cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}A \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

To see that $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}A$, let $p \notin cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}A$. Then, there exist $B \in p$ and $C \in Z(Lmc(S))$ such that $cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}A \subseteq C^{\dagger}$ and $B^{\dagger} \cap C^{\dagger} = \emptyset$. Hence, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq C^{\dagger}$ and this implies $p \notin \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. □

Definition 3.6. Suppose that $p, q \in \mathcal{E}(S)$ and $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$. Then, $A \in p + q$ if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $A = E_{\epsilon}(f)$ and $E_{\delta}(q, f) = \{x \in S : \lambda_x^{-1}(E_{\delta}(f)) \in q\} \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $p, q \in \mathcal{E}(S)$, then $p + q$ is an $e-$ultrafilter.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\emptyset \notin p+q$ and $S \in p+q$. Let $A \in p+q$, then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $A = E_\epsilon(f)$ and for each $\delta > 0$, $E_\delta(q,f) = \{x \in S : \lambda_x^{-1}(E_\delta(f)) \in q\} \in p$. Thus, $E_\delta(f) \in p+q$ for each $\delta > 0$. Let $A, B \in p+q$, therefore, there exist $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ and $f, g \in Lmc(S)$ such that $A = E_\epsilon(f)$ and $B = E_\delta(g)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap B &= E_\epsilon(f) \cap E_\delta(g) \\ &\supseteq E_{\epsilon\wedge\delta}(f) \cap E_{\epsilon\wedge\delta}(g) \\ &= E_{\epsilon\wedge\delta}(|f| \vee |g|), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_\gamma(q, |f| \vee |g|) &= \{x \in S : \lambda_x^{-1}(E_\gamma(|f| \vee |g|)) \in q\} \\ &= \{x \in S : E_\gamma(|L_x f| \vee |L_x g|) \in q\} \\ &= \{x \in S : E_\gamma(L_x f) \cap E_\gamma(L_x g) \in q\} \\ &= E_\gamma(q,f) \cap E_\gamma(q,g). \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_\gamma(q,f), E_\gamma(q,g) \in p$ so $E_\gamma(q, |f| \vee |g|) = E_\gamma(q,f) \cap E_\gamma(q,g) \in p$. Thus, $E_{\delta\wedge\epsilon}(|f| \vee |g|) \in p+q$ and so $A \cap B \in p+q$.

Now pick $A \in p+q$ and $B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ such that $A \subseteq B$. So $A \in p+q$ implies that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $E_\epsilon(f) = A$ and $E_\delta(q,f) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$. For $B \in Z(Lmc(S))$ so, there exists a $g \in Lmc(S)$ such that $Z(g) = B$. Now define $u(x) = g(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{|f(x)|\vee\epsilon}$. Clearly, $h = \frac{u}{\|u\|} \in Lmc(S)$, $Z(g) = E_\epsilon(fh)$ and $L_x f \in E^-(q)$ for each $x \in E_\delta(q,f)$ and $\delta > 0$. This implies $L_x f L_x h \in E^-(q)$ for each $x \in E_\delta(q,f)$, and so $E_\gamma(L_x f L_x h) \in q$ for each $\gamma > 0$. Thus, $E_\delta(q,f) \subseteq E_\delta(q,fh)$ and $E_\delta(q,fh) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$, therefore, $Z(g) = E_\epsilon(fh) \in p+q$. So, $p+q$ is an $e-$filter.

Now, it is proved that $p+q$ is an $e-$ultrafilter. Let $E^-(p) = Ker(\mu)$ and $E^-(q) = Ker(\nu)$ for $\mu, \nu \in S^{Lmc}$. It is claimed that $E^-(p+q) = Ker(\mu\nu)$, thus $p+q$ is an $e-$ultrafilter. Pick $f \in Ker(\mu\nu)$, so $T_\nu f \in Ker(\mu)$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\epsilon(T_\nu f) &= \{x \in S : |T_\nu f(x)| \le \epsilon\} \\ &= \{x \in S : |\nu(L_x f)| \le \epsilon\} \\ &= \{x \in S : |\widehat{L_x f}(\nu)| \le \epsilon\} \\ &\in p. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\{t \in S : |\widehat{L_xf}(t)| \leq \epsilon\} = \{t \in S : |L_xf(t)| \leq \epsilon\} = E_\epsilon(L_xf)$. Pick $\epsilon > 0$. For each $x \in E_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(T_\nu f)$, $E_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}((|L_xf| \vee \frac{\epsilon}{2}) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \subseteq E_\epsilon(L_xf)$, and $E_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}((|L_xf| \vee \frac{\epsilon}{2}) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \in E(Ker(\nu)) = q$, so

$$E_\epsilon(T_\nu f) \subseteq \{x \in S : E_\epsilon(L_xf) \in q\} = E_\epsilon(q, f).$$

Thus, $E_\epsilon(f) \in p + q$ for each $\epsilon > 0$, and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.8. *$\mathcal{E}(S)$ and S^{Lmc} are topologically isomorphic.*

Proof. M is a maximal ideal of $Lmc(S)$ if and only if there is a $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$ such that $Ker(\mu) = M$. Thus, $\gamma : \mu \mapsto E(Ker(\mu)) : S^{Lmc} \to \mathcal{E}(S)$ is well defined and surjective. By Lemma 3.3(4), $\{cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}(e(A)) : A \in Z(Lmc(S))\}$ is a base for closed subsets of $\mathcal{E}(S)$, pick $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{-1}(cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}e(A)) &= \{\mu \in S^{Lmc} : E(Ker(\mu)) \in cl_{\mathcal{E}(S)}e(A)\} \\
&= \{\mu \in S^{Lmc} : \forall B \in E(Ker(\mu)),\ \ B^\dagger \cap e(A) \neq \emptyset\} \\
&= \{\mu \in S^{Lmc} : \forall f \in Ker(\mu),\ \forall\, \delta > 0,\ \ E_\delta(f) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \\
&= \{\mu \in S^{Lmc} : \forall f \in Ker(\mu),\ \forall \delta > 0,\ \exists x_\delta \in A \cap E_\delta(f)\} \\
&= cl_{S^{Lmc}}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

So γ is continuous. Since, $\gamma : S^{Lmc} \to \mathcal{E}(S)$ is a surjective continuous function, and S^{Lmc} a compact space therefore, γ is homeomorphism. Now pick $\mu, \nu \in S^{Lmc}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\mu\nu) &= E(Ker(\mu\nu)) \\
\text{(see the proof of Theorem 3.7)} &= E(Ker(\mu)) + E(Ker(\nu)) \\
&= \gamma(\mu) + \gamma(\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, γ is homomorphism and thus $\mathcal{E}(S)$ and S^{Lmc} are topologically isomorphic. □

By Theorem 3.8, S^{Lmc} could be described as a space of $e-$ultrafilters, i.e. $S^{Lmc} = \{E(Ker(\mu)) : \mu \in S^{Lmc}\}$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$ and $x \in S$. Then $A \in e(x) + p$ if and only if $\lambda_x^{-1}(A) \in p$.*

Proof. Pick $A \in e(x) + q$, so there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $A = E_\epsilon(f)$ and $E_\delta(q, f) = \{t \in S : \lambda_t^{-1}(E_\delta(f)) \in q\} \in e(x)$ for each $\delta > 0$ and $\lambda_x^{-1}(E_\delta(f)) \in q$ for each $\delta > 0$. This implies $\lambda_x^{-1}(A) \in p$.
Conversely, let $\lambda_x^{-1}(A) \in p$, so there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that

$A = E_\epsilon(f)$ and $\lambda_x^{-1}(A) \in p$. Thus $E_\delta(L_x f) = \lambda_x^{-1}(E_\delta(f)) \in p$ for each $\delta > 0$, and $L_x f \in E^-(p) = Ker(\mu)$ for some $\mu \in S^{Lmc}$. Clearly, $\mu(L_x f) = 0$ and so $\varepsilon(x)\mu(f) = 0$. This implies $A \in E(Ker(\varepsilon(x)\mu)) = e(x) + p$. □

Definition 3.10. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be $e-$filters, and pick $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$. Then $A \in \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $E_\epsilon(f) = A$ and $E_\delta(\mathcal{B}, f) = \{x \in S : \lambda_x^{-1}(E_\delta(f) \in \mathcal{B})\} \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $e-$filters. Then $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ is an $e-$filter.*

Proof. See Theorem 3.7. □

4. Applications

In this section, as an application, we consider to the semigroup $S^* = S^{Lmc} \setminus S$ and obtain some conditions characterizing when S^* is a left ideal of S^{Lmc}.

Theorem 4.1. *Pick $p, q \in \mathcal{E}(S)$ and let $f \in Lmc(S)$. Then $E_\epsilon(f) \in p + q$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $B_\epsilon \in p$ and an indexed family $< C_{\epsilon,s} >_{s \in B_\epsilon}$ in q such that $\bigcup sC_{\epsilon,s} \subseteq E_\epsilon(f)$.*

Proof. Let $E_\epsilon(f) \in p + q$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. Pick $\epsilon > 0$, $x \in B_\epsilon = E_\epsilon(q, f)$ and let $C_{\epsilon,x} = E_\epsilon(L_x f) = \lambda_x^{-1}(E_\epsilon(f))$. For each $x \in B_\epsilon$, $C_{\epsilon,x} \in q$ and so $\bigcup_{x \in B_\epsilon} xC_{\epsilon,x} \subseteq E_\epsilon(f)$.

Conversely, by hypothesis for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $B_\epsilon \in p$ and an indexed family $< C_{\epsilon,s} >_{s \in B_\epsilon}$ in q such that $\bigcup_{s \in B_\epsilon} sC_{\epsilon,s} \subseteq E_\epsilon(f)$. Then for each $s \in B_\epsilon$, $C_{\epsilon,s} \subseteq \lambda_s^{-1}(E_\epsilon(f)) = E_\epsilon(L_s f)$ and so $E_\epsilon(L_s f) \in q$, for each $s \in B_\epsilon$. Thus $B_\epsilon \subseteq \{t \in S : E_\epsilon(L_t f) \in q\} = E_\epsilon(q, f) \in p$, and $E_\epsilon(f) \in p + q$ for each $\epsilon > 0$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq Z(Lmc(S))$ has the $e-$finite intersection property. If for each $A \in E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$ and $x \in A$, there exists $B \in E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$ such that $xB \subseteq A$, then $\bigcap_{A \in E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))} \overline{\varepsilon(A)}$ is a subsemigroup of S^{Lmc}.*

Proof. Let $T = \bigcap_{A \in E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))} \overline{\varepsilon(A)}$. Since $E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$ has the $e-$finite intersection property, so $T \neq \emptyset$. Pick $p, q \in T$ and let $A \in E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$. Given $x \in A$, there is some $B \in E(E^-(\mathcal{A}))$ such that $xB \subseteq A$. Therefore, there exist $f, g \in Lmc(S)$ such that $B = E_\delta(g)$, $A = E_\epsilon(f)$ and $E_\gamma(g), E_\gamma(f) \in p \cap q$ for each $\gamma > 0$, so $xE_\delta(g) \subseteq E_\epsilon(f)$ and $E_\delta(g) \subseteq \lambda_x^{-1}(E_\epsilon(f)) = E_\epsilon(L_x f)$. Since $B \in p \cap q$ thus $A \subseteq \{t \in S : E_\epsilon(L_t f) \in q\} = E_\epsilon(q, f)$, and $A = E_\epsilon(f) \in p + q$. □

For semitopological semigroup S, let $S^* = S^{Lmc} \setminus \varepsilon(S)$.

Definition 4.3. (a) $A \subseteq S$ is an unbounded set if $\overline{\varepsilon(A)} \cap S^* \neq \emptyset$.
(b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is an unbounded sequence if $\overline{\varepsilon(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})} \cap S^* \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be unbounded sequences in S. Let $p, q \in S^*$, $q \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})}$ and $p \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})}$, then*

$$p + q \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that for each $A \in q$, $\varepsilon(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \cap A^\dagger \neq \emptyset$ and for each $B \in p$, $\varepsilon(\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \cap B^\dagger \neq \emptyset$. Now let $C \in p + q$, then there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in Lmc(S)$ such that $C = E_\epsilon(f)$ and for each $\delta > 0$, $E_\delta(q, f) \in p$. Pick $\delta > 0$ and let $x \in E_\delta(q, f)$, then

$$\varepsilon(\lambda_x^{-1}(E_\delta(f)) \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$$

and

$$\varepsilon(E_\delta(q, f) \cap \{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$$

are unbounded, by Lemma 3.3(4). Hence for each $y_k \in E_\delta(q, f)$,

$$\varepsilon(\lambda_{y_k}^{-1}(E_\delta(f)) \cap \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$$

and so

$$\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k, n \in \mathbb{N},\ k < n\} \cap E_\delta(f))$$

are unbounded, by Lemma 3.3(4). This implies $\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \cap C^\dagger \neq \emptyset$ and $p + q \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})}$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that S be a commutative semigroup, then S^{Lmc} is not commutative if and only if there exist unbounded sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that*

$$\overline{\varepsilon(\{x_k y_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})} \cap \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})} = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Necessity. Pick p and q in S^* such that $p + q \neq q + p$. Then, there exist $A \in p + q$ and $B \in q + p$ such that $\overline{\varepsilon(A)} \cap \overline{\varepsilon(B)} = \emptyset$. So, there exist $\gamma, \epsilon > 0$ and $f, g \in Lmc(S)$ such that $E_\epsilon(f) = A$ and $E_\gamma(g) = B$. Pick $0 < \delta < \epsilon \wedge \gamma$, let $A_1 = E_\delta(q, f)$ and $B_1 = E_\delta(p, g)$. Then, $A_1 \in p$ and $B_1 \in q$. Choose $x_1 \in A_1$ and $y_1 \in B_1$. Inductively given $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ and $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$, choose x_{n+1} and y_{n+1} such that

$$\varepsilon(x_{n+1}) \in \varepsilon({A_1}^\dagger \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{y_k}^{-1}(E_\delta(g))))$$

and

$$\varepsilon(y_{n+1}) \in \varepsilon({B_1}^{\dagger} \cap (\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{y_k}^{-1}(E_\delta(f)))).$$

Then, $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are unbounded sequences,

$$\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k, n \in \mathbb{N},\ k < n\}) \subseteq \varepsilon(A)$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\{x_k y_n : k, n \in \mathbb{N},\ k < n\}) \subseteq \varepsilon(B).$$

Sufficiency. Now let there exist unbounded sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that

$$\overline{\varepsilon(\{x_k y_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})} \cap \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})} = \emptyset.$$

Pick $p \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})} \cap S^*$ and $q \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})} \cap S^*$. Then by Lemma 4.4,

$$q + p \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{y_k x_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})}$$

and

$$p + q \in \overline{\varepsilon(\{x_k y_n : k < n,\ k, n \in \mathbb{N}\})}.$$

□

Definition 4.6. A semitopological semigroup S is a topologically weak left cancellative if for all $u \in S$ there exists a compact zero set A such that $\varepsilon(u) \in A^{\dagger}$ and $\lambda_v^{-1}(A)$ be a compact set for each $v \in S$.

Theorem 4.7. *(a) Let S be a locally compact non-compact Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and S^* be a closed left ideal of S^{Lmc}, then S is a topologically weak left cancellative.*
(b) Let S be a locally compact non-compact Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and S be a topologically weak left cancellative, then S^* is a left ideal of S^{Lmc}.
(c) Let S be a locally compact non-compact Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and S^* be a closed subset of S^{Lmc}. Then S^* is a left ideal of S^{Lmc} if and only if S is a topologically weak left cancellative.

Proof. (a) Pick $x, y \in S$ such that for each compact zero set $A \in Z(Lmc(S))$, $\varepsilon(x) \in A^{\dagger}$ and $B_A = \lambda_y^{-1}(A)$ is non-compact. Pick $p_A \in S^* \cap \overline{\varepsilon(B_A)}$ so $\varepsilon(y) + p_A \in \varepsilon(A)$. Now let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{A \in Z(Lmc(S)) : \varepsilon(x) \in A^{\dagger} \text{ and } A \text{ is compact}\},$$

then $\{p_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{U}}$ is a net, $\varepsilon(y)+p_A\to\varepsilon(x)$, and $\varepsilon(x)\in\overline{S^*}=S^*$. So this is a contradiction.

(b) Since S is non-compact so $S^*\neq\emptyset$. Pick $p\in S^*$, $q\in S^{Lmc}$ and let $q+p=\varepsilon(x)\in\varepsilon(S)$. Let $A\in Z(Lmc(S))$ be a compact set and $\varepsilon(x)\in A^\dagger$. Then $A\in q+p$ and there exist $f\in Lmc(S)$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $E_\epsilon(f)=A$ and $E_\delta(p,f)\in q$ for each $\delta>0$. Now pick $y\in E_\epsilon(p,f)$ then $\lambda_y^{-1}(A)\in p$, so $\lambda_y^{-1}(A)$ is not compact and this is a contradiction.
(c) It can easily be verified. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let G be a locally compact non compact Hausdorff topological group. Then G^* is a left ideal of $G^{\mathcal{LUC}}$ if and only if G is a topologically weak left cancellative.*

Proof. Let G be a locally compact non compact Hausdorff topological group, so $\varepsilon(G)$ is an open subset of $G^{\mathcal{LUC}}$, and G^* is closed. Now by Theorem 4.7 proof is completed. □

Theorem 4.9. *Let S be a locally compact semitopological semigroup. The following statements are equivalent.*
(a) S^* is right ideal of S^{Lmc}.
(b) Given any zero compact subset A of S, any sequence $\{z_n\}$ in S, and any unbounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in S, there exists a $n<m$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n\cdot z_m\notin A$.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Suppose that $\{x_n\cdot z_m : n,m\in\mathbb{N}\text{ and }n<m\}\subseteq A$. Pick $p\in\overline{\varepsilon(\{z_m : m\in\mathbb{N}\})}$ and $q\in S^*\cap\overline{\varepsilon(\{x_n : n\in\mathbb{N}\})}$, which one can do, since $\{x_n : n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is unbounded. Thus $q+p\in\overline{\varepsilon(A)}=\varepsilon(A)\subseteq\varepsilon(S)$, is a contradiction.

(b) implies (a). Since $S^*\neq\emptyset$, pick $p\in S^{Lmc}$ and $q\in S^*$ such that $q+p=\varepsilon(a)\in\varepsilon(S)$, so there exists a compact set $A\in Z(Lmc(S))$ such that $\varepsilon(a)\in A^\dagger$. Hence there exist $\epsilon>0$ and $f\in Lmc(S)$ such that $E_\epsilon(f)=A$ and $E_\delta(f)\in\varepsilon(a)$, for each $\delta>0$. Then for each $1/n<\epsilon$,

$$E_{1/n}(p,f)=\{s\in S:\lambda_s^{-1}(E_{1/n}(f))\in p\}\in q,$$

choose an unbounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n\in E_{1/n}(p,f)$. Inductively choose a sequence $\{z_m\}$ in S such that for each $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $z_m\in\bigcap_{n=1}^m\lambda_{x_n}^{-1}(E_{1/n}(f))$ (which one can do) since $\bigcap_{n=1}^m\lambda_{x_n}^{-1}(E_{1/n}(f))\in p$. Then for each $n<m$ in $\mathbb{N}$, $x_n\cdot z_m\in E_{1/n}(f)\subseteq E_\epsilon(f)=A$, is a contradiction. □

Examples. (a) Let S be a discrete semigroup. If S is either right or left cancellative then $S^* = \beta S \backslash S$ is a subsemigroup of βS, (See Corollary 4.29 in [5]). This is not true for a left cancellative semitopological semigroup S. Let $(S = (1, +\infty), +)$ with the natural topology. Then S^* is not subsemigroup. Pick $p, q \in cl_{S^{Lmc}}(1, 2]$, thus there exist nets $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\beta\}$ in $(1, 2]$ such that $x_\alpha \to p$, $y_\beta \to q$ and $x_\alpha + y_\beta \in [2, 4]$. Hence $p + q \in [2, 4]$ and so S^* is not subsemigroup. Also, S^* is not a left ideal and so S is not topologically weak left cancellative.

(b) $(S = [1, +\infty), +)$ with the natural topology is a topologically weak left cancellative, thus S^* is a left ideal of S^{Lmc}.

References

[1] J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups: Function Spaces, Compactifications, Representations,* Wiley, New York, 1989.

[2] W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, *The Theory of Ultrafilters,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

[3] L. Gilman and M. Jerison, *Ring of Continuous Functions,* Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.

[4] N. Hindman and P. Milnes, The $\mathcal{LMC}-$compactification of a topologized semigroup, Czechoslovak Math. J.**38** (1988), 103-119.

[5] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification, Theory and Application,* Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.

[6] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis,* McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1991.

[7] M. A. Tootkaboni and A. Riazi, *Ultrafilters on Semitopological Semigroup,* Semigroup Forum **70** (2005), 317—328.